Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01358-2 — International Protection of Investments August Reinisch , Christoph Schreuer Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

### International Protection of Investments

This book outlines the protection standards typically contained in international investment agreements as they are actually applied and interpreted by investment tribunals. It thus provides a basis for analysis, criticism, and stocktaking of the existing system of investment arbitration. It covers all main protection standards, such as expropriation, fair and equitable treatment, full protection and security, the non-discrimination standards of national treatment and MFN, the prohibition of unreasonable and discriminatory measures, umbrella clauses and transfer guarantees. These standards are covered in separate chapters providing an overview of textual variations, explaining the origin of the standards and analysing the main conceptual issues as developed by investment tribunals. Relevant cases with quotations that illustrate how tribunals have relied upon the standards are presented in depth. An extensive bibliography guides the reader to more specific aspects of each investment standard permitting the book's use as a commentary of the main investment protection standards.

AUGUST REINISCH is a professor of international and European law at the University of Vienna. He is a Member of the International Law Commission, a membre associé of the Institut de droit international and President of the Austrian Branch of the International Law Association. Professor Reinisch has served as arbitrator in investment cases mostly under ICSID and UNCITRAL Rules. He is a Member of the ICSID Panels of Conciliators and of Arbitrators and of the Court of the PCA.

CHRISTOPH SCHREUER was a professor of International Law at Salzburg University, The Johns Hopkins University and the University of Vienna. He has served as arbitrator in investment cases mostly under the ICSID and UNCITRAL Rules. He is a member of the ICSID Panels of Conciliators and of Arbitrators. He is the author of numerous books and articles in the field of international investment law.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01358-2 — International Protection of Investments August Reinisch , Christoph Schreuer Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

# INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION OF INVESTMENTS

The Substantive Standards

AUGUST REINISCH University of Vienna

CHRISTOPH SCHREUER Zeiler Partners, Vienna



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01358-2 — International Protection of Investments August Reinisch , Christoph Schreuer Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>



University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107013582 DOI: 10.1017/9781139004978

© August Reinisch and Christoph Schreuer 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd, Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Names: Reinisch, August, author. | Schreuer, Christoph, author. Title: International protection of investments : the substantive standards / August Reinisch, University of Vienna; Christoph Schreuer, Zeiler Partners, Vienna.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY, USA : Cambridge University Press, 2020. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019052444 (print) | LCCN 2019052445 (ebook) | ISBN 9781107013582 (hardback) |

ISBN 9781139004978 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Investments, Foreign (International law)

Classification: LCC K3830 .R447 2020 (print) | LCC K3830 (ebook) | DDC 346/.092-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2019052444

LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2019052445

ISBN 978-1-107-01358-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01358-2 — International Protection of Investments August Reinisch , Christoph Schreuer Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

# CONTENTS

Preface pa	ge xvii
Table of Cases	xix
List of Abbreviations	xlvii
1 Expropriation	1
I Introduction	5
A General	
B Historic Background of Property Protection	5 5 5
1 The International Minimum Standard: The Treatment of Foreigners	5
2 The Contribution of Human Rights Law	11
3 The Contribution of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal: The Lex Specialis	
Problem of the Algiers Accord	13
C The Continued Relevance of the Customary International Law on	
Expropriation	14
D Historic Origin of Expropriation Clauses	16
E The Relevance of Textual Variations of Expropriation Clauses	18
II The Object of Protection: 'Investments'	19
A The Broadening of the Object of Property Protection	20
B 'Possessions' as Objects of Expropriation in Human Rights Law	24
C Intangible Rights	26
D Contractual Rights	28
E Market Access	33
F Judicial and Arbitral Decisions	34
III The Notion of Expropriation	36
A General	36
B Direct Expropriation	39
C Confiscation	45
D Nationalization	45
E Indirect Expropriation	46
1 Scholarly Attempts to Define Indirect Expropriation	46
2 Recent Attempts to Define Indirect Expropriation in IIAs	48
IV General Considerations on Indirect Expropriation	51
A Fact-Based Case-by-Case Analysis	51
B Interchangeable Use of Indirect Expropriation Terms	52
C Measures Tantamount to Expropriation and Indirect Expropriation	54

v

vi	Contents			
	D Measures Tantamount to Expropriation and Dispossession	57		
	E Creeping Expropriation	57		
	F Acts and Omissions	69		
	G Property Deprivation: An Expropriation Need Not Benefit the State	71		
	H Judicial Expropriation	76		
	I The Problem of Partial Expropriation	81		
	J Regulatory Expropriation	85		
	1 The Difficulty of Distinguishing between Legitimate Regulation and			
	Regulatory Expropriation	86		
	2 Legitimate Regulatory Purposes	88		
	(a) Taxation	91		
	(b) Monetary Regulation	99		
	(c) Environmental Regulation	100		
	(d) Health Regulation	102		
	3 The Methanex/Saluka Doctrine: Legitimate Regulatory Measures	103		
	4 Beyond Methanex and Saluka	104		
	V The Main Criteria Used to Identify Indirect Expropriation in Arbitral			
	Practice	112		
	A Severity of Interference: Substantial Deprivation	112		
	1 The Severity of Interference in NAFTA Cases	115		
	2 The Intensity/Severity of Interference in Other Investment Cases	119		
	B Durational Aspects of Expropriation	135		
	C Loss of Control	140		
	1 De Facto Deprivation of Facilities	142		
	2 Loss of Control Indirectly Acknowledged	146		
	3 The Compulsory Nature of the Transfer of Property/Loss of Control	149		
	4 The Role of Governmental Intent: 'Sole Effects' Doctrine	149		
	D Protection of Legitimate Investor Expectations	156 157		
	1 Specific Assurances 2 General Assurances	157		
	3 High-Risk Investments	162		
	E Predictability and Stability	164		
	F Discrimination	166		
	G Proportionality	168		
	H Transparency	170		
	I Due Process	171		
	J Governmental Interference in Case of Takings of Contractual Rights	172		
	1 Breach of Contract or Expropriation of Contractual Rights	172		
	2 Expropriation of Contractual Rights: the Exercise of Governmental			
	Authority	175		
	3 The Rare Cases of Expropriation of Contractual Rights vis-à-vis			
	Private Parties	187		
	VI Lawfulness of Expropriation	188		
	A Public Interest	190		
	1 Textual Variations	190		
	2 Relationship to Customary International Law	191		

	Contents	vii
	3 The Review of Public Interest on the Basis of IIA Provisions	194
	4 Main Issues and Purpose	195
	5 Scope of Review	195
	(a) Wide Discretion of Host States to Determine Public Interest	196
	(b) Limited Review of Host State Determinations of Public Interest	199
	6 Summary	204
	B Non-discrimination	204
	1 Textual Variations	204
	2 Relationship to Customary International Law	204
	3 Main Issues and Purpose	206
	(a) Discrimination of Foreigners	206
	(b) Racial Discrimination	210
	(c) 'Justified' Discrimination	211
	4 Summary	213
	C Due Process	213
	1 Textual Variations	214
	2 Relationship to Customary International Law	215
	3 Main Issues and Purpose	216
	(a) Meaning of Due Process	216
	(b) Due Process As a Right to Have an Expropriation Reviewed	217
	(c) Due Process As an Obligation to Expropriate According to Host	222
	State Law	222
	4 Summary	223
	D Compensation for Expropriation	223
	1 Textual Variations	223
	2 Compensation under Customary International Law 2 The Compensation Despirement under HAS	225
	3 The Compensation Requirement under IIAs	228
	4 The Adequacy of Compensation: 'Full', 'Adequate', or 'Appropriate'	229
	5 Prompt Compensation	229
	(a) Offers of Compensation	235
	(b) Compensation in Cases of Indirect Expropriation	230
	6 Effective Compensation	230
	7 Summary	241
	E Damages for Unlawful Expropriation	242
	1 The Differentiation between Compensation and Damages in Theory	243
	2 The Differentiation in Practice under Customary International Law	244
	3 The Differentiation in Practice under Treaty Law	246
	VII Conclusions	250
2	Fair and Equitable Treatment	251
	I Introduction	254
	A General	254
	B Historic Origin	255
	C Scholarly Debates	257

viii	Contents	
Π	FET Clauses in International Investment Agreements	259
	A Textual Variations of FET Clauses	261
	1 Stand-alone FET Clauses	263
	2 Combined FET Clauses	263
	3 FET Clauses Referring to International Law	264
	(a) References to International Law in General	264
	(b) References to Customary International Law	265
	(c) References to the International Minimum Standard	266
	4 FET Clauses Stressing Individual Elements	266
	5 FET Clauses Containing More Detailed Explanations	267
	6 FET Clauses Referring to Domestic Law	269
	B The Importation of FET Obligations via MFN Clauses	270
111	Interpretative Approaches to FET Clauses	272
	A Ordinary Meaning	274
	1 The 'Dictionary Approach' 2 Criticium of the 'Dictionary Approach'	274 277
	2 Criticism of the 'Dictionary Approach' P. Contactual Intermetation	277
	B Contextual Interpretation	279
	<ol> <li>Other Treaty Provisions as 'Context'</li> <li>Treaty Preambles as 'Context'</li> </ol>	279
	3 Broader 'Context'	281
	4 Human Rights and Trade Treaty Provisions as 'Context'	282
	5 International Law and Jurisprudence as 'Context'	287
	C Object and Purpose	287
	D Resulting Expansive or Restrictive Interpretations of FET	290
	1 High Level of Protection	291
	2 Low Level of Protection	294
IV	Conceptual Aspects of FET	295
	A The Legal Basis of Fair and Equitable Treatment: Good Faith	296
	1 Bad Faith Is Not Required for a Breach of FET	297
	2 Bad Faith Is a Strong Indication for a Breach of FET	300
	B Fair and Equitable Treatment Distinguished from Ex Aequo et Bono	302
	C Fair and Equitable Treatment as Customary International Law Minimum	
	Standard or as Autonomous (Higher) Standard	303
	1 The Content of the International Minimum Standard	305
	(a) The Jurisprudence of Mixed Claims Commissions	305
	(b) The Neer Standard	306
	(c) Further Development of the Neer Standard	307
	(d) The Evolutive Character of the International Minimum Standard	310
	2 FET As Equivalent to the International Minimum Standard	314
	3 An Autonomous Higher Standard	324
	(a) An Autonomous Standard Where IIAs Do Not Refer to	225
	International Law	325
	(b) An Autonomous Standard Where IIAs Refer to International Law	328
	4 The Approximation of the Autonomous and the Customary Standard D Fair and Equitable Treatment as Treatment under Customary International	333
	Law Does Not Incorporate Other International Law Guarantees	338
	Law Does Not incorporate Other international Law Quarantees	550

Contents	ix
E Fair and Equitable Treatment as an Expression of General Principles	
of Law	339
F Fair and Equitable Treatment as an Expression of the Rule of Law	342
G Fair and Equitable Treatment and Proportionality	344
H Fair and Equitable Treatment as a Non-Contingent Standard	346
I Cumulative Effect of Several Acts as Violations	347
J The Delimitation between Fair and Equitable Treatment and Other	
Protection Standards as Well as Their Interrelationship	349
1 Expropriation	351
(a) Breach of Contract under Expropriation and FET	354
(b) Due Process	357
2 Full Protection and Security	358
3 Non-Discrimination Standards	363
(a) The Prohibition of Arbitrary and Discriminatory Treatment	363
(b) National Treatment and MFN	365
4 Transfer Clauses	365
V The Elements of FET Identified in the Jurisprudence of Investment	267
Tribunals	367
A Fact-Specific Assessment of FET Claims	368
B The Relevance of Jurisprudence and Scholarship	369
C Jurisprudential Summaries of the Elements of FET	371
D Procedural Fairness and Due Process 1 Due Process and Denial of Justice	376 379
2 Due Process Scrutiny Distinguished from Appellate Review	382
3 Due Process Scrutiny and Exhaustion of Local Remedies ('Finality Rule')	386
(a) The Exhaustion of Local Remedies and Access to ISDS	388
(a) The Exhaustion of Local Remedies' and Recess to 15D5 (b) The 'Exhaustion of Local Remedies' and the Requirement of	500
Final Judicial Acts to Ascertain a Denial of Justice	390
4 Due Process Requirements Apply to Civil, Criminal and	570
Administrative Proceedings	396
(a) Due Process in Civil Proceedings	396
(b) Due Process in Criminal Proceedings	396
(c) Due Process in Administrative Proceedings	398
5 The Content of the Due Process Obligation of Host States	402
(a) Access to Court	408
(b) Undue Delay	410
(c) Fair Procedure	416
(d) Equality of Treatment	418
(e) Right to be Heard	419
(f) Independence and Impartiality	422
(g) Manifestly Unjust Outcome	423
E Good Faith-Inspired FET Requirements: No Harassment and Abuse	
of Power	427
F A Possible Duty to Negotiate in Good Faith	432

x Contents	
G Absence of Discrimination and Arbitrariness	434
1 Discriminatory Measures	436
2 Arbitrary Measures	439
H Reasonableness	441
I Proportionality	446
J Transparency	453
1 High Level of Transparency	455
2 Low Level of Transparency	459
3 Challenges to Transparency As an Element of FET	460
K Stability and Predictability	461
1 Policy Reasons for Stability and Predictability	462
2 Stability and Predictability As Part of FET	462
3 Consistency	468
4 How Much Stability?	471
5 The Right to Regulate	478
L Legitimate Expectations	480
1 Legitimate Expectations As an Expression of Good Faith	482
2 Ascertaining Legitimate Expectations by a Comparative Law Method	483
3 Legitimate Expectations As a Controversial Aspect of FET	485
4 The Objective Nature of Legitimate Expectations	486
5 The Role of Investor Due Diligence for 'Legitimate Expectations'	492
6 The Timing of Legitimate Expectations	496
7 The Host State's Role in Creating Legitimate Expectations	500
(a) Types of Host State Acts Creating Legitimate Expectations	503
(i) Contracts	503
(ii) Unilateral Promises	507
(iii) Unilateral Statements	508
(iv) Regulatory Framework and Legislation	510
(b) Specificity of Host State Acts	517
8 Legitimate Expectations and the Host State's Right to Regulate	524
M Legality	530
<ol> <li>Not Every Breach of Domestic Law by Host States Violates FET</li> <li>Legal or Illegal Host State Behaviour As an Indication of FET</li> </ol>	530
Violations	532
VI Conclusions	535
3 Full Protection and Security	536
I Introduction	540
A General	540
B Historic Origin	540
II Functioning and Basic Concepts	542
A Textual Variations of FPS Provisions	542
B FPS and Customary International Law	545
1 International Law As a Floor	546
2 International Law As a Ceiling	548

Contents	xi	
C FPS and Fair and Equitable Treatment		
1 FPS and FET As a Unitary Concept	550	
2 FPS and FET As Separate Standards	554	
III The Application of FPS in Arbitral Practice	558	
A Physical Protection	558	
1 Protection against Private Violence	561	
2 Protection against Violence by State Organs	565	
B Legal Protection	568	
1 Inclusion of Legal Security	568	
2 Exclusion of Legal Security	576	
C The Standard of Liability for FPS	580	
1 Due Diligence	580	
2 The Relevance of Economic and Social Conditions	584	
IV Conclusions	586	
4 National Treatment	587	
I Introduction	591	
A General	591	
B Historic Origin	592	
II National Treatment Clauses in International Investment		
Agreements	594	
A Textual Variations of National Treatment Provisions	595	
B Exceptions from National Treatment Obligations	595	
C National Treatment and Entry or Establishment	597	
D Overlap with Other Standards: The Prohibition of Arbitrary and		
Discriminatory Measures	599	
III The Functioning and Basic Concepts of National Treatment Clauses	603	
A National Treatment as an Expression of Non-Discrimination	603	
B Non-Discrimination as a Normative Concept	604	
C National Treatment and Customary International Law	605	
D National Treatment and the International Minimum Standard	606	
E The Function of National Treatment	607	
F Inherent Limitation of the Availability of the National Treatment		
Claim	608	
IV Interpretation of National Treatment Clauses	609	
A The Relevance of GATT/WTO Jurisprudence	609	
1 Tribunals Accepting the Interpretative Value of Trade Law		
Concepts	610	
2 Tribunals Reluctant to Accept the Interpretative Value of Trade Law	(11	
Concepts	611	
B The Relevance of MFN Jurisprudence	615	
C Discriminatory Intent as a Requirement for a Breach of National	(1)	
Treatment?	616	
1 No Intent Requirement	616 619	
<ul><li>2 A Possible Intent Requirement</li><li>3 Proven Intent As an Indication of Discrimination</li></ul>	619	
J I IOVOII IIIUIII AS AII IIIUICAUUII UI DISCHIIIIIIIAUUII	021	

xii

V Application in Practice: The Three-Step Test and Its Variations	623
A The Identification of a Domestic Comparator: 'Like Circumstances' or	
'Like Situations'	626
1 Textual Variations	627
2 A Flexible, Fact-Specific Approach to 'Likeness'	630
3 The Relevance of 'Economic' or 'Business' Sectors	632
4 Number of Competitors	638
5 The Existence of a Competitive Relationship	639
6 The Need for 'Substantially' or 'Materially' Similar Circumstances	643
7 Examples of 'Circumstances' Which Are Not 'Like'	644
B Less Favourable Treatment: Discrimination	653
1 What Constitutes 'Treatment'?	654
2 'No Less Favourable' Treatment or 'Most Favourable' Treatment?	656
3 De Jure and De Facto Discrimination	658
4 Factually Discriminatory Treatment	661
5 Any Discrimination or Only Sufficiently Serious Discrimination?	663
6 Examples of Discrimination and Lack of Discrimination	664
C Lack of Justification	667
1 The Possibility of Justification As an Implicit Element of	
Discrimination Claims	668
2 Legitimate Reasons to Differentiate As 'Justification' or As an	
Element to Assess 'Like Circumstances'?	669
3 Few Genuine Examples of Investment Awards Justifying Different	
Treatment	672
D Burden of Proof	672
1 Claimants Have to Prove Discrimination in 'Like Circumstances'	673
2 Respondents Have to Prove Affirmative Defences	674
VI Conclusions	679
5 Most-Favoured-Nation Treatment	680
I Introduction	686
A General	686
B Historic Origin	687
C Scholarly Debates	689
D The Use of MFN Clauses in Different Contexts	691
II MFN in Trade Matters	691
III MFN in International Investment Agreements	693
A Textual Variations of MFN Provisions	694
1 MFN in the Pre-establishment Phase	695
2 MFN Linked to Fair and Equitable Treatment	696
3 MFN Linked to Full Protection and Security	698
4 MFN and National Treatment Combined	698
5 MFN Clauses and Dispute Settlement	698
6 Exceptions from MFN Obligations	702
IV The Functioning and Basic Concepts of MFN Clauses	704
A The Multilateralizing Effect of MFN Treatment	705

**Contents** 

	Contents	xiii
	B MFN Treatment as Treatment of Foreign Investments and Investors	705
	C MFN as a Treaty, Not a Custom-based Obligation	709
	D The 'Basic Treaty' as the Legal Basis of MFN Treatment	710
	E MFN Clauses as Treaty Provisions Are Subject to Treaty	
	Interpretation Rules	711
	F Temporal Issues concerning MFN Treatment	712
	1 The Prospective and/or Retrospective Effect of MFN Clauses	713
	2 The Effect of MFN Clauses on the Temporal Scope of Application	
	of a BIT	716
	G The Scope of MFN Clauses: The <i>Ejusdem Generis</i> Principle	719
	H The Scope of MFN Treatment: Treaty Stipulations and De Facto	
	Treatment	725
	I The Relevance of National Treatment Jurisprudence for MFN Cases	726
	J Application of the Three-Step Test in Factual Discrimination Cases	727
	1 'In Similar/Like Situations/Circumstances'	728
	2 Treatment 'No Less Favourable': Not 'Equal' Treatment	732
	3 What Constitutes More/Less Favourable Treatment?	732
	K The Scope of MFN Treatment: Third Party Treatment in Its Entirety	
• •	or Selectively – The 'Cherry Picking' Issue	735
V	The Rationale/Purpose of MFN Clauses in International Investment	720
	Agreements	738
	A Creating Equal Competitive Conditions for Investors	738
	B Expansion of Investor Rights	740
VI	The Application of MFN Clauses in Investment Practice	740
	A MFN and the Scope of Protected Investments and Investors	740
	B MFN As a Tool to Import Substantive Treatment Standards	743
	1 Fair and Equitable Treatment in NAFTA	744
	2 Fair and Equitable Treatment in Other Cases	746
	3 Other Treatment Standards	749
	4 MFN and Expropriation	754
	5 MFN and De Facto Discrimination	756
	C Treatment in Regard to Dispute Settlement	759
	1 The Maffezini Case	764
	2 Post-Maffezini Cases	766
	3 Cases Following and Widening the Maffezini Approach	767
	D Cases Rejecting the Maffezini Approach	779
VII	The Main Aspects of the Post-Maffezini Debate	799
	A The Use of Presumptions	800
	B The Relevance of the Specific Wording of an MFN Clause	801
	1 'Treatment'	802
	2 'Treatment with Respect to the Management, Maintenance, Use,	
	Enjoyment or Disposal of Investments'	804
	3 'In the Territory'	805
	4 'All Matters Subject to this Agreement'	807
	5 'In Like Situations/Circumstances'	808
	6 Exceptions	808

xiv	Contents	
	C Harmonization or More Inconsistency on the Interpretation of MFN	
	Clauses in Procedural Matters?	810
VIII	Conclusions	812
6 Prote	ection against Arbitrary or Discriminatory Measures	813
I In	troduction	816
А	General	816
В	Historic Origin	817
II Fu	inctioning and Basic Concepts	819
	Textual Variations	819
В	The Meaning of Unreasonable/Arbitrary Measures	820
	1 Ordinary Meaning	821
	2 Rule of Law	821
	3 Due Process	824
	4 Shock, Outrage and Surprise	825
	5 Expectations	826
	6 Bad Faith/Adverse Intention	827
	7 Rational Decision-Making	828
C	8 Synopsis of Practice	834
C	The Meaning of Discriminatory Measures	835
	1 The Basis of Comparison for Discrimination	836 839
р	2 Discriminatory Intent or de Facto Discrimination Relationship to Customary International Law	843
	Relationship to Fair and Equitable Treatment	845
Ľ	1 Unitary Concept with FET	846
	2 Separate Standards	851
III Co	onclusions	854
7 Umb	orella Clause	855
	troduction	857
	General	857
В	Origin of the Term 'Umbrella Clause' and Other	
	Designations	858
С	Historic Origin of Umbrella Protection	859
	Scholarly Debates	860
	mbrella Clauses in International Investment Agreements	865
	Textual Variations of Umbrella Clauses	867
	1 Umbrella Clauses Referring to Obligations 'Entered Into'	868
	2 Umbrella Clauses Referring to Obligations 'With Regard to	
	Investments' or 'With Regard to Specific Investments'	869
	3 Umbrella Clauses Referring to 'Any Obligations Whether General or	
	Specific'	869
	4 Umbrella Clauses Referring to 'Any Obligations Assumed'	870
	5 Umbrella Clauses Referring to Obligations 'Entered Into' by Third	
	Parties	870

Contents	XV
6 Umbrella Clauses Constantly Guaranteeing the Observance of	
Commitments	871
7 Umbrella Clauses Making Observance of Obligations 'Subject to	
Host State Law'	871
8 Umbrella Clauses Specifying the Applicable Law	872
9 Umbrella Clauses Clarifying Their Legal Effect	872
10 Opting Out from Umbrella Clauses	873
B Treaty Provisions Similar, but Distinct from, Umbrella Clauses	874
1 Clauses Generally Requiring Respect for Contractual Obligations	874
2 Preservation of Rights Clauses	876
3 'Floor' Provisions	877
III The Interpretation of Umbrella Clauses	878
A Ordinary Meaning	879
B Object and Purpose	880
C Contextual Interpretation	881
D Expansive or Restrictive Interpretation	883
IV Conceptual Aspects of the Use of Umbrella Clauses	884
A The Purpose of Umbrella Clauses	885
1 Pacta Sunt Servanda	885
2 Access to Treaty Arbitration	886
B Scope of Umbrella Clauses	889
1 Unilateral Obligations – Host State Legislation and Regulation	890 901
2 Obligations Relating to Investments (a) All Contractual Obligations or only Investment Palated	901
(a) All Contractual Obligations or only Investment-Related Agreements?	901
(b) Specific Agreements and Other Treaty Provisions	901
3 Personal Scope of Obligations – Privity between Investors and	705
Host States?	904
(a) Sub-state Entities	905
(b) Subsidiaries	915
(c) Conclusion on Privity	924
C The Possible Exclusion of Purely Commercial Commitments	924
D The Potential Need for a Governmental Act to Violate an Umbrella	21
Clause	926
E The Power of Investors to Waive Rights under an Umbrella Clause	927
V Overview of the Jurisprudence on Umbrella Clauses	928
A Decisions de Facto Depriving Umbrella Clauses of any Practical Effect	930
B Decisions Requiring an Element of Sovereign Authority	933
1 Sovereign or Governmental versus Purely Commercial Obligations	934
2 Sovereign or Governmental versus Commercial Breaches of	20.
Obligations	937
C Decisions Giving Effect to Umbrella Clauses and the Issue of Applicable	
Law	944
D Decisions Giving Effect to Umbrella Clauses but Giving Precedence to	
Contractually Agreed upon Dispute Settlement Mechanisms	951
· - · ·	

xvi	Contents	
	E Decisions Fully Internationalizing Contract Breaches through Umbrell	a
	Clauses	956
	F Passing References to Umbrella Clauses	962
VI	Conclusions	968
8 Tra	nsfer Clauses	970
Ι	Introduction	976
II	The Liberalization of Payments and Capital Transfers	976
III	Purpose of Transfer of Funds Provisions and Monetary Sovereignty	978
IV	The Texts of Transfer of Funds Provisions	980
	A Historic Origin	980
	B Textual Variations of Transfer Clauses	981
	1 In- and Outbound Transfers or Only Outbound Transfers	981
	2 Types of Transfers Covered	982
	3 Transfers Subject to Host State Law	983
	4 Time Element	984
	5 Types of Currencies Available for Transfers	984
	6 Applicable Exchange Rates	985
	C Exceptions from Transfer Obligations	986
	1 Exceptions relating to Judicial Proceedings	986
	2 Balance of Payments Exceptions	986
	The Compatibility of Transfer Provisions with EU Law	987
VI	Application of Transfer Provisions in Practice	989
	A The Argentinian Financial Crisis	990
	B Violations of Transfer Obligations	992
	C No State Interference with Transfers	994
	D No Violations of Transfer Obligations	994
	Transfer Obligations Distinguished from other Treatment Standards	996
VIII	Conclusions	998
Bibliog	raphy	999 1040
Index		1040

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01358-2 — International Protection of Investments August Reinisch , Christoph Schreuer Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

# PREFACE

The aim of this book is to describe and explain the investment protection standards typically contained in international investment agreements (IIAs) as applied by investment tribunals. It will provide a basis for analysis, criticism and argument. In this book we do not offer our own views on how investment standards should be interpreted. Rather, we have collected, analysed and systematized the sometimes heterogeneous, if not contradictory, interpretations reached by various investment tribunals. Thus, this book permits a stocktaking of how tribunals have addressed arguments and interests of parties and stakeholders in the area of investment arbitration. It is neither a critique of nor an apology for the existing system.

Conspicuous features of tribunal practice are the inconsistencies and contradictions encountered when interpreting key issues such as the scope of mostfavoured-nation (MFN) clauses, the elements of fair and equitable treatment (FET), of umbrella clauses, the defining point of indirect expropriation. At the same time, practice shows the harmonious interpretation of many other elements of the main investment protection standards.

The absence of a fully consistent practice is due, at least in part, to a multitude of bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and a few multilateral agreements with investment chapters which reflect these standards with some variation. In addition, we are dealing with decisions of a wide variety of mostly ad hoc arbitral tribunals deciding specific cases.

Our aim has been to analyse these arbitral interpretations of different treaties by focusing and concentrating on common underlying concepts expressed in often varying language. This approach explains the structure of the book.

We have concentrated on the main protection standards contained in IIAs: expropriation, FET, full protection and security, the non-discrimination standards of national treatment and MFN, the prohibition of unreasonable and discriminatory measures, umbrella clauses and transfer guarantees.

Each chapter provides an overview of the variations of language employed by treaty-makers while stressing the common core of the respective standard. It explains the origin of the standard and analyses the main conceptual issues, focusing on the way tribunals have interpreted them. Each chapter discusses the

xvii

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01358-2 — International Protection of Investments August Reinisch , Christoph Schreuer Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

xviii

#### Preface

relevant cases with quotations that illustrate how tribunals have actually addressed the standard. An extensive, though not exhaustive, bibliography should guide the reader to more specific aspects of the investment standards discussed in this book. These features explain the book's resemblance to a commentary on the main investment protection standards.

The growing case-law in the field and the fact that some decisions and awards remain confidential makes an exhaustive treatment impossible. We have strived to keep the chapters current as of the end of 2018.

This book was made possible by the generous support of the Austrian Science Fund which has funded research projects of Professor Reinisch on the interpretation and application of international investment law. Research and project assistants at the University of Vienna have made valuable contributions to this book: in particular, Christina Knahr, Lukas Stifter, Aima Samatova, Jose Magnaye, Florentina Simlinger, Céline Braumann, Sara Mansour Fallah and Johannes Tropper. The University of Vienna has granted a sabbatical to Professor Reinisch, enabling him to finalize large parts of the manuscript during summer term of 2018.

Vienna, June 2019

# **TABLE OF CASES**

A11Y Ltd. v. Czech Republic, ICSID Case No. UNCT/15/1, Decision on Jurisdiction, 9 February 2017; Award, 29 June 2018111, 798, 799, 877, 904
Abaclat and others v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/5, Decision on
Jurisdiction and Admissibility, 4 August 2011
ABCI Investments N.V. v. Republic of Tunisia, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/12, Decision on Jurisdiction, 18 February 2011
Abengoa, S.A. y COFIDES, S.A. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/ 09/2, Award, 18 April 2013
Accession Mezzanine Capital L.P. and Danubius Kereskedöház Vagyonkezelö Zrt.
v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/3, Decision on Respondent's Objection under
Arbitration Rule 41(5), 16 January 2013; Award, 17 April 20159, 32, 33, 37, 755, 756
Achmea B.V. v. The Slovak Republic [1], PCA Case No. 2008-13, Final Award,
7 December 2012 137, 350, 360, 366, 444, 498, 992, 998
Achmea B.V. v. The Slovak Republic [II], PCA Case No. 2013-12, Award on
Jurisdiction and Admissibility, 20 May 2014
ADC Affiliate Limited and ADC & ADMC Management Limited v. The Republic of
Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16, Award, 2 October 2006
178, 179, 195, 200, 201, 209, 218, 222, 247, 248, 249, 357, 408, 422, 478
ADF Group Inc. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/00/1, Award,
9 January 2003
645, 646, 660, 674, 728, 745, 845, 846
AES Corporation and Tau Power B.V. v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case
No. ARB/10/16, Award, 1 November 2013
966, 992, 997
AES Corporation v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/17, Decision on
Jurisdiction, 26 April 2005
AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Erömü Kft. v. Republic of Hungary,
ICSID Case No. ARB/07/22, Award, 23 September 2010 129, 274, 295,
441, 473, 474, 497, 582, 602, 664, 733, 757, 817, 831, 832, 878
AGIP v. People's Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/77/1, Award,
30 November 1979

xix

xх

AHS Niger and Menzies Middle East and Africa S.A. v. Republic of Niger, ICSID Case
No. ARB/11/11, Award (Excerpts), 15 July 2013 145
AIG Capital Partners, Inc. and CJSC Tema Real Estate Company v. Republic of
Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/6, Award, 7 October 20039
Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v. Sultanate of Oman, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/33, Award,
3 November 2015
468, 549, 583, 643, 661, 678
Mohammad Ammar Al-Bahloul v. Republic of Tajikistan, SCC Case No. V064/2008,
Partial Award on Jurisdiction and Liability, 2 September 2009 175, 376,
411, 421, 424, 430, 486, 574, 575, 580, 608, 655, 830, 893, 961, 962
Fouad Alghanim & Sons Co. for General Trading & Contracting, W.L.L. and
Fouad Mohammed Thunyan Alghanim v. Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, ICSID
Case No. ARB/13/38, Award, 14 December 2017
Allard v. Sweden, ECtHR, Application No. 35179/97, 24 June 2003 [2003] 39
EHRR 1477
Peter A. Allard v. The Government of Barbados, PCA Case No. 2012-06, Award,
27 June 2016
Kristian Almås and Geir Almås v. The Republic of Poland, PCA Case No. 2015-13,
Award, 27 June 2016
Alpha Projektholding GmbH v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/16, Award,
8 November 2010
629, 630, 654, 659, 663, 673, 914
$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{W}$ $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{C} \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}$
Hesham Talaat M. Al-Warraq v. The Republic of Indonesia, UNCITRAL, Final Award,
15 December 2014
15 December 2014
15 December 2014
15 December 2014
<ul> <li>15 December 2014</li></ul>

520, 521, 901

678, 728, 745

#### Table of Cases

Alasdair Ross Anderson et al. v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/

Anglia Auto Accessories Limited v. The Czech Republic, SCC Case No. 2014/181, Final Award, 10 March 2017 ...... 80, 121, 797, 798 The Anglo-Iranian Oil Company Case (United Kingdom v. Iran) (Judgment) [1952] ICJ Reports 93 .....11, 686, 710, 720, 768, 858, 859, 860, 862 Ansung Housing Co., Ltd. v. People's Republic of China, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/25, Antaris Solar GmbH and Dr. Michael Göde v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-01, Award, 2 May 2018; Dissenting Opinion of Gary Born, 2 May 2018 ...... 478, 480, 495, 503, 517, 518, 565, 832, 833, 849 Antin Infrastructure Services Luxembourg S.à.r.l. and Antin Energia Termosolar B.V. v. The Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/31, Award, 15 June 2018......231, 249, 276, 277, 290, 332, 476, 480, 494, 495, 497, 516, Apotex Holdings Inc. and Apotex Inc. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. Apothekenurteil, German Federal Constitutional Court [1958] BVerfGE 7...... 344 Archer Daniels Midland Company and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas, Inc. v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/5, Award, 628, 631, 634, 641, 658, 659, 663, 665 Mr. Franck Charles Arif v. Republic of Moldova, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/23, Award, 533, 556, 584, 752, 853, 876, 877 Asian Agricultural Products Ltd. (AAPL) v. Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Award, 27 June 1990; Dissenting Opinion of Samuel K.B. Asante, 15 June 1990 ...... 358, 359, 543, 554, 566, 571, 577, 580, 583, 585, 606, 675, 735, 743

- Asylum Case (Colombia v. Peru) (Judgment) [1950] ICJ Reports 266..... 404 ATA Construction, Industrial and Trading Company v. The Hashemite Kingdom of
- Jordan, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/2, Award, 18 May 2010...... 35, 271, 513, 748 Atlantic Triton Company Limited v. People's Revolutionary Republic of Guinea, ICSID Case No. ARB/84/1, Award, 21 April 1986.....15

Austrian Airlines AG v. The Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL, Final Award, 9 October 2009; Separate Opinion Judge Brower 9 October 2009 ...... 762, 767, 786, 787, 800, 811

- Hassan Awdi, Enterprise Business Consultants, Inc. and Alfa El Corporation v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/13, Award, 2 March 2015 ...... 522 Robert Azinian, Kenneth Davitian, & Ellen Baca v. The United Mexican States,
- ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/97/2, Award, 1 November 1999......78, 174, 316, 383, 403, 409, 410, 411, 414, 417, 424

xxi

# xxii

#### Table of Cases

Azurix Corp. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award,
14 July 200613, 105, 106, 127, 138, 139, 152, 160, 169, 170,
178, 292, 321, 329, 334, 360, 361, 448, 544, 547, 554, 571, 573, 816, 821, 822, 827,
852, 861, 906, 915, 916, 919
Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Farr, District Court, S.D. New York, 243 F.Supp. 957
(S.D.N.Y. 1965)
Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit,
307 F.2d 845, 868 (1962)
Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company (Belgium v. Spain) (Judgment) [1970]
ICJ Reports 3 11, 52
Walter Bau AG (in liquidation) v. The Kingdom of Thailand, UNCITRAL Award,
1 July 2009
Kaiser Bauxite Company v. Jamaica, ICSID Case No. ARB/74/3, Award,
6 July 197515
Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A.S. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan,
ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005; Award,
27 August 2009
292, 300, 466, 490, 491, 496, 608, 615, 618, 624, 631, 637, 644, 650, 651, 656, 662,
714, 732, 746, 747
Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. Republic of Perú, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/21,
Award, 30 November 2017
Beijing Urban Construction Group Co. Ltd. v. Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No.
ARB/14/30, Decision on Jurisdiction, 31 May 2017
Valeri Belokon v. The Kyrgyz Republic PCA Case No. AA518 Award

 Valeri Belokon v. The Kyrgyz Republic, PCA Case No. AA518, Award,

 24 October 2014
 94, 130, 368, 397

S.A.R.L. Benvenuti & Bonfant v. People's Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/77/2, Award, 8 August 1980, 1 ICSID Reports 330..... 15, 59, 115, 144, 227

Vladimir Berschader and Moise Berschader v. The Russian Federation, SCC Case No. 080/2004, Award, 21 April 2006; Separate Opinion by Todd Weiler, 21 April 2006......711, 713, 743, 766, 783, 784, 785, 786, 805, 808, 811, 812

Rupert Binder v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Final Award (Redacted),

# Table of Cases

Blusun S.A., Jean-Pierre Lecorcier and Michael Stein v. Italian Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/3, Award, 27 December 201680, 349, 467, 516,
517, 518, 522
Iurii Bogdanov, Agurdino-Invest Ltd. and Agurdino-Chimia JSC v. Republic of Moldova [I], SCC, Arbitral Award, 22 September 2005 127, 189, 440, 454, 545
<i>Yuri Bogdanov</i> v. <i>Republic of Moldova [II]</i> , SCC Case No. V114/2009, Final Award, 30 March 2010
Yuri Bogdanov and Yulia Bogdanova v. Republic of Moldova [III], SCC Case No. V091/2012, Final Award, 16 April 2013
Luigiterzo Bosca v. The Republic of Lithuania, PCA Case No. 2011-05, Award,
17 May 2013
Luigiterzo Bosh International, Inc and B&P Ltd Foreign Investments Enterprise
v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/11, Award, 25 October 2012 125, 375, 382, 400, 913, 954, 955
BP (British Petroleum) Exploration Company (Libya) Ltd v. Government of the
<i>Libyan Arab Republic</i> , Award, 10 October 1973 and 1 August 1974, (1979) 53 ILR 297
Bramelid and Malmström v. Sweden, European Commission of Human Rights, Applications Nos. 8588/79 and 8589/79 (1982), 12 October 1982, 29 Decisions &
Reports 64
British Caribbean Bank Limited (Turks & Caicos) v. The Government of Belize, PCA Case No. 2010-18, Award, 19 December 2014
<i>British-American Tobacco Company Ltd.</i> v. <i>The Netherlands</i> , ECtHR, Application No. 19589/92, 20 November 1995 [1995] 21 EHRR 40925
Brumărescu v. Romania, ECtHR, Application No. 28342/95, 28 October 1999 [1999]           ECHR 105
Bureau Veritas, Inspection, Valuation, Assessment and Control, BIVAC B.V. v. The Republic of Paraguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/9, Decision of the Tribunal on
Objections to Jurisdiction, 29 May 2009; Further Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 9 October 2012 6, 356, 868, 879, 880, 882, 928, 944, 952, 953, 955
Burlington Resources Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador and Empresa Estatal Petróleos del
Ecuador (PetroEcuador), ICSID Case No. ARB/08/5, Decision on Jurisdiction,
2 June 2010; Decision on Liability, 14 December 2012; Dissenting Opinion of
Arbitrator Orrego Vicuña, 8 November 2012; Decision on Reconsideration and
Award, 7 February 2017
237, 356, 430, 790, 878, 899, 918, 919, 926, 943
Ivan Peter Busta and James Peter Busta v. The Czech Republic, SCC Case No. V 2015/
014, Final Award, 10 March 201769, 71, 132, 797, 798
Mike Campbell (Pvt) Ltd and Others v. Republic of Zimbabwe, Southern African
Development Community Tribunal, SADC (T) Case No. 2/2007, Judgment,

Table of Cases xxiv Camuzzi International S.A. v. The Argentine Republic [1], ICSID Case No. ARB/03/2, Decision on Jurisdiction, 11 May 2005 ......724, 766, 768, 769 Camuzzi International S.A. v. Argentine Republic [II], ICSID Case No. ARB/03/7, Caratube International Oil Company LLP and Devincci Salah Hourani v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/13, Award, 27 September 2017......40, 132, 180, 193, 246 Cargill, Incorporated v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/2, Final 611, 614, 619, 641, 665, 841 Cargill, Incorporated v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/05/2, Award, 18 September 2009 ...... 55, 118, 300, 301, 313, 339, 373, 440, 461, 463, 612, 642, 645, 727, 729 Case C-118/07 Commission v. Finland EU:C:2009:715 [2009] ECR I-10889 ..... 988 Case C-120/78 REWE Zentral AG v. Bundesmonopolverwaltung für Branntwein Case C-205/06 Commission v. Austria EU:C:2009:118 [2009] ECR I-1301 ...... 988 Case C-249/06 Commission v. Sweden EU:C:2009:119 [2009] ECR I-1335...... 988 Case C-548/09 P Bank Melli Iran v. Council EU:C:2011:735, [2011] ECR I-11381.... 377 Case Concerning Ahmadou Sadio Diallo (Republic of Guinea v. Democratic Republic of the Congo) (Judgment) [2010] ICJ Reports 639 .....11, 390 Case Concerning Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia (Germany v. Poland) (Judgment on Merits) [1926] PCIJ Series A, No. 7......11, 20, 22, 23 Case Concerning the Payment of Various Serbian Loans Issued in France (France v. Case of James and Others v. United Kingdom, ECtHR, Application No. 8793/79, 21 February 1986 [1986] ECHR 2.....106, 168, 196, 448 Case of the former King of Greece and Others v. Greece, ECtHR, Application No. 25701/94, 23 November 2000 [2000] ECHR 640...... 168 Casinos Austria International GmbH and Casinos Austria Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/32, Decision on Jurisdiction, 29 June 2018 ...... 134, 344, 427, 652, 660, 666 CCL v. Republic of Kazakhstan, SCC Case No. 122/2001, Final Award, 1 January 2004, (2005) 1 SIAR 123 ..... 154 Cervin Investissements S.A. and Rhone Investissements S.A. v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/2, Decision on Jurisdiction, 15 December 2014; Award, Československá Obchodní Banka A.S. v. The Slovak Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/ 97/4, Award, 29 December 2004 ...... 571 Champion Trading Company, Ameritrade International, Inc. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/9, Award, 27 October 2006 ...... 458, 604, 608, 627, 636, 668 Charanne B.V. and Construction Investments S.A.R.L. v. The Kingdom of Spain, SCC Case No. V 062/2012, Award, 21 January 2016...... 134, 135, 450, 467, 475, 476, 480, 482, 490, 514, 520

# Table of Cases

xxv

Chemtura Corporation (formerly Crompton Corporation) v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL (NAFTA), Award, 2 August 2010
<ul> <li>Madame Chevreau (France v. United Kingdom) (1931) 2 RIAA 1113</li></ul>
Chevron Corporation and Texaco Petroleum Corporation v. The Republic of Ecuador [II], PCA Case No. 2009-23, Second Partial Award on Track II, 30 August 2018 379, 382, 423, 942, 943
William Ralph Clayton and others and Bilcon of Delaware, Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL (NAFTA), PCA Case No. 2009-04, Award on Jurisdiction and Liability, 17 March 2015
<ul> <li>CME Czech Republic B.V. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award,</li> <li>13 September 2001; Final Award, 14 March 2003; Separate Opinion by Ian Brownlie</li> <li>on the Issues at the Quantum Phase, 14 March 2003</li></ul>
<ul> <li>CMS Gas Transmission Company v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/</li> <li>8, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 17 July 2003; Award, 12 May 2005;</li> <li>Decision on Annulment, 25 September 2007120, 247, 299, 300, 320, 363, 434, 462, 463, 464, 465, 497, 511, 704, 821, 848, 872, 890, 891, 894, 897, 898, 919, 920, 939, 940, 943, 990</li> </ul>
Compañiá de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, Award, 20 August 2007; Decision on Annulment, 3 July 2002
Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v. The Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, Award, 17 February 200041, 61, 100, 101, 153, 193, 227, 230
ConocoPhillips Petrozuata B.V., ConocoPhillips Hamaca B.V. and ConocoPhillips Gulf of Paria B.V. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/30, Decision on Jurisdiction and the Merits, 3 September 2013; Dissenting Opinion to Decision on Jurisdiction and Merits of Abi-Saab, 19 February 2015; Interim Decision, 17 January 2017
Consortium Groupement L.E.S.IDIPENTA v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/8, Award, 10 January 2005
<ul> <li>Continental Casualty Company v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/9, Award, 5 September 2008</li></ul>

Copper Mesa Mining Corporation v. The Republic of Ecuador, PCA Case No. 2012-2, Award (Redacted), 15 March 2016 ......110, 111, 198, 221, 529 Corn Products International, Inc. v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. 118, 167, 603, 610, 612, 622, 623, 625, 626, 655, 659, 665, 668 Corona Materials, LLC v. Dominican Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3, Award on the Respondent's Expedited Preliminary Objections in Accordance with Crystallex International Corporation v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2, Award, 4 April 2016 ......59, 68, 177, 196, 209, 218, 239, 299, 313, 328, 376, 454, 470, 524, 531, 546, 579 Tomasz Czescik and Robert Aleksandrowicz v. Republic of Cyprus, SCC Case No. Daimler Financial Services AG v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/1, Award, 22 August 2012; Dissenting Opinion of Judge C. N. Brower, 15 August 793, 801, 803, 806, 807, 809, 811, 812, 967 Dan Cake (Portugal) S.A. v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/9, Decision on Ömer Dede and Serdar Elhüseyni v. Romania, ICSID Case No ARB/10/22, Award, Delagoa Bay and East African Railway Co (US and Great Britain v. Portugal), in M. M. Whiteman (ed), 3 Damages in International Law (1943) ..... 235 Desert Line Projects LLC v. The Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/17, Deutsche Bank AG v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/2, Award, 31 October 2012; Dissenting Opinion of Makhdoom Ali Khan, CC/Devas (Mauritius) Ltd., Devas Employees Mauritius Private Limited and Telcom Devas Mauritius Limited v. The Republic of India, PCA Case No. 2013-09, Award on Jurisdiction and Merits, 25 July 2016..... 199, 313, 335, 363, 435, 482, 749, 851, 914 Case Concerning Ahmadou Sadio Diallo (Republic of Guinea v. Democratic Republic of the Congo) (Preliminary Objections: Judgment) [2007] ICJ Reports Dispute regarding Navigational and Related Rights (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua) Duke Energy Electroquil Partners & Electroquil S.A. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No ARB/04/19, Award, 18 August 2008...... 298, 356, 490, 491, 497, 498, 584, 822, 826, 852, 858, 893, 916, 917, 918, 926, 943, 961 Duke Energy International Peru Investments No. 1, Ltd. v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/28, Award, 18 August 2008 ...... 997

# Table of Cases

xxvii

Eastern Sugar B.V. (Netherlands) v. The Czech Republic, SCC Case No. 088/2004,
Partial Award, 27 March 2007; Partial Dissenting Opinion of Robert Volterra,
12 April 2007 123, 438, 443, 444, 445, 559, 560, 840
ECE Projektmanagement International GmbH and Kommanditgesellschaft PANTA
Achtundsechzigste Grundstücksgesellschaft mbH & Co v. The Czech Republic, PCA
Case No. 2010-5, Award, 19 September 2013
EDF (Services) Limited v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/13, Award,
8 October 2009 163, 405, 439, 450, 471, 472, 480, 481,
489, 518, 834, 908
EDF International S.A., SAUR International S.A. and León Participaciones
Argentinas S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/23, Award,
11 June 2012; Decision on Annulment, 5 February 2016 579, 584, 752,
850, 941, 942
Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energía Solar Luxembourg S.à r.l. v. Kingdom of
Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/36, Award, 4 May 2017 280, 467,
468, 475, 476, 477, 479, 480, 515
El Oro Mining and Railway Company (Limited) (Great Britain) v. United Mexican
<i>States</i> (1931) 5 RIAA 191
El Paso Energy International Company v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID
Case No. ARB/03/15, Decision on Jurisdiction, 27 April 2006; Award,
31 October 2011
350, 361, 363, 472, 473, 481, 482, 489, 490, 508, 509, 513, 520, 525, 550, 556, 563,
583, 821, 831, 837, 840, 850, 853, 868, 901, 925, 934, 935, 936, 943
Electrabel S.A. v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19, Decision on
Jurisdiction, Applicable Law and Liability, 30 November 2012; Award,
25 November 2015 82, 134, 148, 367, 374, 441, 449, 478,
481, 486, 518, 525, 529, 556, 575, 581, 621, 835, 836, 841
Elettronica Sicula SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v. Italy) (Judgment) [1989]
ICJ Reports 15 11, 76, 292, 294, 311, 383, 387, 398,
402, 404, 405, 407, 416, 417, 423, 441, 532, 540, 547, 561, 562, 569, 580, 583, 619,
620, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 847
Eli Lilly and Company v. Government of Canada, ICSID Case No. UNCT/14/2,
Final Award, 16 March 2017 81, 833, 847
Emanuel Too v. Greater Modesto Insurance Associates (1989) 23 Iran-USCTR
378
Emmis International Holding, B.V., Emmis Radio Operating, B.V., MEM Magyar
Electronic Media Kereskedelmi és Szolgáltató Kft. v. The Republic of Hungary,
ICSID Case No. ARB/12/2, Award, 16 April 2014
EnCana Corporation v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No. UN3481, Award,
3 February 2006
<i>Eni Dación B.V. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/07/4,
· ·
Order Taking Note of the Discontinuance of the Proceeding, 18 April 200843
Enkev Beheer B.V. v. The Republic of Poland, PCA Case No. 2013-01, First Partial
Award, 29 April 2014

xxviii

Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets, L.P. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Award, 22 May 2007; Decision on Annulment, 496, 576, 600, 829, 830, 839, 878, 885, 892, 893, 920, 921, 938 Eureko B.V. v. Republic of Poland, Ad hoc Arbitration, Partial Award, 19 August 2005; Dissenting Opinion Jerzy Rajski, 19 August 2005 ..... 69, 83, 84, 167, 208, 294, 331, 437, 460, 504, 567, 859, 879, 881, 883, 912, 925, 932, 937, 957, 958, 960 European American Investment Bank AG (Austria) v. The Slovak Republic, PCA Case No. 2010-17, Award on Jurisdiction, 22 October 2012......767, 786, 787, 788 European Media Ventures SA v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award on Affaire Fabiani (France v. Venezuela) (1896) cited in, John Bassett Moore, History and Digest of the International Arbitrations to which the United States has been a Party, Factory at Chorzów (Claim for Indemnity) (Germany v. Poland), (Judgment on Merits) [1928] PCIJ Series A, No. 17......11, 22, 23, 24, 244, 245, 247, 248, 249, 250 Fedax N. V. v. The Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/3, Award, 9 March Feldman and Slovyanskyy Bank v. Ukraine, ECtHR, Application No. 42758/05, 21 December 2017 [2017] ECHR 1176......25 Marvin Roy Feldman Karpa v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/1, Interim Decision on Preliminary Jurisdictional Issues, 6 December 2000; Award, 16 December 2002; Dissenting Opinion Jorge Covarrubias Bravo, 3 December 2002 ......19, 40, 51, 56, 62, 85, 86, 90, 93, 94, 104, 116, 146, 164, 165, 171, 197, 403, 603, 618, 619, 626, 635, 636, 638, 657, 661, 670, 675, 677, 678, 728, 836, 837, 840 Fireman's Fund Insurance Company v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/02/01, Award, 17 July 2006......14, 37, 70, 86, 117, 125, 167, 170, 205, 286 Flemingo DutyFree Shop Private Limited v. Republic of Poland, UNCITRAL, Award, Flughafen Zürich A.G. and Gestión e Ingenería IDC S.A. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/19, Award, 18 November 2014 ......75, 392 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25, Award, 16 August 2007 ...... 279, 881 Fredin v. Sweden, ECtHR, Application No. 12033/86, 18 February 1991 [1991] Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Final Award, 12 November 2010 ...... 285, 300, 362, 393, 399, 418, 453, 480, 497, 499, 545, 558, 567, 574, 580, 585 Bernardus Henricus Funnekotter and others v. Republic of Zimbabwe, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/6, Award, 22 April 2009 ...... 210, 211

<i>GAMI Investments, Inc.</i> v. <i>The Government of the United Mexican States,</i> UNCITRAL (NAFTA), Final Award, 15 November 2004 212, 435, 530, 531,
623, 642, 645, 845
Garanti Koza LLP v. Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20, Decision
on the Objection to Jurisdiction for Lack of Consent, 3 July 2013; Dissenting
Opinion by Laurence Boisson de Chazournes, 3 July 2013; Award, 19 December
2016 80, 346, 469, 701, 724, 734, 737, 760, 766, 775, 776, 777,
850, 859, 888, 914, 915, 950, 951
Adriano Gardella v. Ivory Coast, ICSID Case No. ARB/74/1, Award, 29 August
1977
Gas Natural SDG, S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/10,
Decision on Preliminary Questions on Jurisdiction, 17 June 2005
733, 760, 766, 767, 769, 770, 800, 802, 810, 811, 990
Marco Gavazzi and Stefano Gavazzi v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/25,
Decision on Jurisdiction, Admissibility and Liability, 21 April 2015 523
Georg Gavrilovic and Gavrilovic d.o.o. v. Republic of Croatia, ICSID Case No.
ARB/12/39, Award, 26 July 2018
497, 499, 534, 603, 626, 653, 667, 907
GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/16, Award,
31 March 2011
Gemplus, S.A., SLP, S.A. and Gemplus Industrial, S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican
States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/3 and Talsud S.A. v. United Mexican States,
ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/4, Award, 16 June 2010
161, 229, 440, 558, 581
Generation Ukraine, Inc. v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/9, Award,
16 September 20039, 61, 70, 87, 119, 152, 162, 348, 389, 391,
481, 584
Alex Genin, Eastern Credit Limited, Inc. and A.S. Baltoil v. The Republic of Estonia,
ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, Award, 25 June 2001
321, 322, 347, 398, 405, 584, 601, 620, 824, 827, 844, 845, 852
German Settlers' Case (Germany v. Poland) (Advisory Opinion) [1923] PCIJ
Ser. B, No. 6
Getma International and others v. Republic of Guinea, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/29,
Award, 16 August 2016
Glamis Gold, Ltd. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL (NAFTA), Award,
8 June 2009
488, 508
Glencore Finance (Bermuda) Limited v. Plurinational State of Bolivia, PCA Case
No. 2016-39 (pending)
Antoine Goetz and others v. Republic of Burundi [1], ICSID Case No. ARB/95/3,
Decision on Liability, 2 September 1998; The Parties' Agreement, 23 December
1998; Award, 10 February 1999147, 161, 197, 217, 236, 241, 629,
835, 836

Antoine Goetz and others v. Republic of Burundi [II], ICSID Case No. ARB/01/2,
Award, 21 June 2012 147
Gold Reserve Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/
09/1, Award, 22 September 2014 52, 86, 185, 313, 454, 559
Goldenberg Case (Germany v. Romania) (1928) 2 RIAA 90920, 233
Golder v. United Kingdom, ECtHR, Application No. 4451/70, 21 February 1975 [1975]
ECHR 1 306, 408
Grand River Enterprises Six Nations, Ltd., et al. v. United States of America,
UNCITRAL (NAFTA), Award, 12 January 2011
319, 338, 339, 624, 635, 665, 728, 729, 733
Greentech Energy Systems A/S, Foresight Luxembourg Solar 1 S.A.R.L., Foresight
Luxembourg Solar 2 S.A.R.L., GWM Renewable Energy I S.P.A. and GWM
Renewable Energy II S.P.A. v. The Kingdom of Spain, SCC Case No. V2015/150,
Final Award, 14 November 2018
Greentech Energy Systems A/S, NovEnergia II Energy & Environment (SCA) SICAR,
and NovEnergia II Italian Portfolio SA v. The Italian Republic, SCC Case No.
V2015/095, Award, 23 December 2018522, 530, 826, 833, 894
Philippe Gruslin v. Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/3, Award, 27 November
2000
<i>Guaracachi America, Inc. and Rurelec PLC</i> v. <i>The Plurinational State of Bolivia,</i>
UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2011-17, Award (corrected), 31 January 2014 6,
44, 198, 221, 231, 250
1, 190, 221, 201, 200
H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]
<i>H</i> v. <i>Belgium</i> , ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]</li> <li>ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]</li> <li>ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]</li> <li>ECHR 30</li></ul>
H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]         ECHR 30
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]</li> <li>ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]</li> <li>ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]         ECHR 30
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]         ECHR 30
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>
H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987]         ECHR 30
<ul> <li>H v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 8950/80, 30 November 1987 [1987] ECHR 30</li></ul>

# Table of Cases

xxxi

Iatridis v. Greece, ECtHR, Application No. 31107/96, 25 March 1999 [1999]
ECHR 14
Iberdrola Energía S.A. v. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/5, Award,
17 August 2012 403, 409, 413, 417, 418, 426
Içkale Insaat Limited Sirketi v. Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/24, Award,
8 March 2016 33, 80, 186, 712, 759, 808
ICS Inspection and Control Services Limited v. The Argentine Republic, PCA Case No
2010-9, Award on Jurisdiction, 10 February 2012 701, 705,
708, 712, 738, 767, 793, 804, 806, 811, 812, 965
Impregilo S.p.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/17,
Award, 21 June 2011; Concurring and Dissenting Opinion of Professor Brigitte
Stern, 21 June 2011; Concurring and Dissenting Opinion of Judge Charles
N. Brower, 21 June 2011
466, 481, 707, 734, 766, 772, 810, 811
Impregilo S.p.A. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/3,
Decision on Jurisdiction, 22 April 2005126, 176, 177, 355, 506,
847, 905, 906, 943, 944
INA Corp. v. Iran (1985) 8 Iran-USCTR 373; J. Lagergren, Separate
Opinion
Industria Nacional de Alimentos, S.A. and Indalsa Perú, S.A. v. The Republic
of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/4, Decision on Annulment,
5 September 2007
Inmaris Perestroika Sailing Maritime Services GmbH and others v. Ukraine, ICSID
Case No. ARB/08/8, Excerpts of Award, 1 March 2012
432, 825
Interhandel Case (Switzerland v. United States of America) (Preliminary Objections)
[1959] ICJ Reports 611, 387
International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v. The United Mexican States,
UNCITRAL (NAFTA), Award, 26 January 2006; Separate Opinion Thomas Wälde,
26 January 2006
486, 500, 549, 604, 608, 609, 619, 662, 673, 835, 837
Inversión y Gestión de Bienes, IGB, S.L. and IGB18 Las Rozas, S.L. v. Kingdom of
Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/17, Award (Excerpts), 14 August 201531
Invesmart, B.V. v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Award (Redacted),
26 June 200915, 107, 300, 372, 437, 475, 481, 493, 494
518, 830, 859, 964, 965
Isolux Infrastructure Netherlands, B.V. v. Kingdom of Spain, SCC Case No. V2013/
153, Award, 12 July 2016; Dissenting Opinion of Arbitrator Prof. Dr. Guido Santiago
Tawil, 6 July 2016
848, 900
ITT Industries Inc. v. Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran (1983) 2 Iran-
USCTR 348
Jalapa Railroad and Power Co., American-Mexican Claims Commission, 1948, 8
Whiteman, Digest of International Law 908 (1976) 173

xxxii

Laura M. B. Janes and others (USA) v. United Mexican States, Mexican-US General
Claims Commission (1926) 5 RIAA 82
Joy Mining Machinery Limited v. The Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/
03/11, Award on Jurisdiction, 6 August 2004
Judgments of the Administrative Tribunal of the ILO upon complaints made against
UNESCO (Advisory Opinion) [1956] ICJ Reports 77
Jurisdiction of the Courts of Danzig Case (Advisory Opinion) [1928] PCIJ
Series B No 15
Ioannis Kardassopoulos and Ron Fuchs v. The Republic of Georgia, ICSID
Case Nos. ARB/05/18 and ARB/07/15, Decision on Jurisdiction, 6 July 2007;
Award, 3 March 2010 42, 108, 197, 213, 216, 218, 219, 229, 246, 249, 280, 499, 510
Karkey Karadeniz Elektrik Uretim A.S. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case
No. ARB/13/1, Award, 22 August 2017
Khan Resources Inc., Khan Resources B.V., and CAUC Holding Company Ltd. v. The
Government of Mongolia, PCA Case No. 2011-09, Decision on Jurisdiction, 25 July
2012; Award on the Merits, 2 March 2015 28, 128, 172, 506, 894
Kılıç İnşaat İthalat İhracat Sanayi ve Ticaret Anonim Şirketi v. Turkmenistan,
ICSID Case No. ARB/10/1, Award, 2 July 2013; Decision on Annulment, 14 July
2015
Klöckner Industrie-Anlagen GmbH and others v. United Republic of Cameroon and
Société Camerounaise des Engrais, ICSID Case No. ARB/81/2, Award, 21 October
198315
Koch Minerals Sarl and Koch Nitrogen International Sarl v. The Bolivarian Republic
of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/19, Award, 30 October 2017 220,
240, 320, 965
Könyv-Tár Kft and Others v. Hungary, ECtHR, Application No. 21623/13, 16 October
2018 [2018] ECHR 830
Kügele v. Polish State, Upper Silesian Claims Tribunal (1932) 6 ILR 6992
Kuwait v. American Independent Oil Company (AMINOIL), Award, 24 March 1982
(1982) 21 ILM 976 40, 156, 205, 212, 226
L.E.S.I. S.p.A. and ASTALDI S.p.A. v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID
Case No. ARB/05/3, Award, 12 November 2008 29, 470, 562, 747
Antoine Abou Lahoud and Leila Bounafeh-Abou Lahoud v. Democratic Republic of the
Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/4, Award, 7 February 2014 202
Lanco International Inc. v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/6, Award on
Jurisdiction, 8 December 1998

Table of Cases

*Ronald S. Lauder* v. *The Czech Republic*, UNCITRAL, Final Award, 3 September 2001......52, 53, 72, 120, 287, 363, 468, 570, 596, 597, 601, 659, 792, 817, 821, 822, 823, 828, 829, 836, 841, 852

# Table of Cases

xxxiii

*Emilio Agustín Maffezini* v. *The Kingdom of Spain*, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, Decision on Jurisdiction, 25 January 2000; Award, 13 November 2000....... 454, 687, 690, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 704, 715, 718, 719, 722, 723, 724, 733, 755, 759,

xxxiv

760, 761, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 771, 774, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785,
789, 790, 791, 793, 794, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 805, 807, 809, 810, 811, 873
Malicorp Limited v. The Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/18, Award,
7 February 2011
Malik v. United Kingdom, ECtHR, Application No. 23780/08, 13 March 2012 [2012]
ECHR 438
Mamidoil Jetoil Greek Petroleum Products Societe S.A. v. Republic of Albania, ICSID
Case No. ARB/11/24, Award, 30 March 2015; Dissenting Opinion of Steven
A. Hammond, 20 March 2015
508, 528, 529, 556, 581, 585, 832
Marfin Investment Group Holdings S.A., Alexandros Bakatselos and others
v. The Republic of Cyprus, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/27, Award, 26 July
2018
Maritime International Nominees Establishment (MINE) v. Guinea, ICSID Case No.
ARB/84/4, Decision on Annulment, 14 December 1989, 4 ICSID Rep 87 419
Masdar Solar & Wind Cooperatief U.A. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/
14/1, Award, 16 May 2018135, 476, 521
M. Meerapfel Söhne AG v. Central African Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/10,
Excerpts of Award, 12 May 2012
Mellacher v. Austria, ECtHR, Application Nos. 10522/83; 11011/84; 11070/84,
19 December 1989 [1989] ECHR 2590
Menzies Middle East and Africa S.A. and Aviation Handling Services International
Ltd. v. Republic of Senegal, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/21, Award,
5 August 2016145, 693, 795
Mercer International Inc. v. Canada, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/3, Award, 6 March
2018
Merrill & Ring Forestry L.P. v. The Government of Canada, UNCITRAL, ICSID
Administered, Award, 31 March 2010 34, 296, 307, 310, 312,
314, 319, 337, 340, 368, 382, 467, 613, 631, 654, 655, 664
Mesa Power Group, LLC v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL (NAFTA), PCA Case
No. 2012-17, Award, 24 March 2016
Metalclad Corporation v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1,
Award, 30 August 2000; The United Mexican States v. Metalclad Corporation,
Judgment by the Supreme Court of British Columbia, 2 May 2001, 2001 BCSC 664,
5 ICSID Reports 236 39, 62, 74, 75, 116, 120, 128, 153,
155 156 159 150 169 170 171 247 420 455 456 459 461 540
155, 156, 158, 159, 168, 170, 171, 247, 420, 455, 456, 458, 461, 549
Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/
<i>Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A.</i> v. <i>The Argentine Republic</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/03/ 5, Award, 6 June 2008
Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/ 5, Award, 6 June 2008
Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/5, Award, 6 June 2008Metal-Tech Ltd. v. The Republic of Uzbekistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/3, Award,4 October 2013742
Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/ 5, Award, 6 June 2008
<ul> <li>Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/ 5, Award, 6 June 2008</li></ul>
Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/ 5, Award, 6 June 2008

# Table of Cases

XXXV

611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 620, 621, 623, 624, 631, 635, 641, 647, 654, 728, 731, 836, 837, 841, 878, 883
Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula, S.C. European Food S.A, S.C. Starmill S.R.L. and S.C. Multipack S.R.L. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/20, Final Award, 11 December 2013 278, 299, 303, 371, 428, 442, 453, 458, 479, 480, 497, 518, 831, 878, 879, 895, 946, 947, 948
Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling Co. S.A. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/6, Award, 12 April 200283, 138, 139, 161, 162, 420
<i>Milasi</i> v. <i>Italy</i> , ECtHR, Application No. 10527/83, 25 June 1987 [1987] 10 EHRR 333
David Minnotte and Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/ 10/1, Award, 16 May 2014
Patrick Mitchell v. Democratic Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/3, Excerpts of Award, 9 February 2004 145, 594, 604, 668, 669
<i>MNSS B.V. and Recupero Credito Acciaio N.V. v. Montenegro</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/12/8, Award, 4 May 2016 80, 335, 356, 364, 399, 565, 580, 731, 757, 927, 994
Mobil Corporation and others v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No.
ARB/07/27, Decision on Jurisdiction, 10 June 2010 131
<ul> <li>Mobil Exploration and Development Argentina Inc. Suc. Argentina and Mobil</li> <li>Argentina Sociedad Anónima v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/</li> <li>16, Decision on Jurisdiction and Liability, 10 April 2013</li></ul>
Mobil Investments Canada Inc. and Murphy Oil Corporation v. Canada, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/4, Decision on Liability and on Principles of Quantum, 22 May 2012
22 May 2012
Liability, Interpretation and Allied Issues, 4 May 1989
<i>MOL Hungarian Oil and Gas Company PLC</i> v. <i>Republic of Croatia</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/13/32, Decision on Respondent's Application Under ICSID Arbitration Rule 41(5), 2 December 2014
Mondev International Ltd. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/
2, Award, 11 October 2002
303, 310, 312, 313, 317, 318, 337, 368, 370, 381, 383, 394, 421, 425, 507, 549, 845,
846, 847, 883
MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A. v. Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/
01/7, Award, 25 May 2004; Decision on Annulment, 21 March 2007 159,
273, 275, 277, 292, 293, 294, 316, 372, 448, 457, 468, 469, 485, 493, 696, 714, 743, 750, 751, 810, 848
750, 751, 810, 848 Murphy Exploration and Production Company International v. Republic of
<i>Ecuador [I]</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/08/4, Award on Jurisdiction,

xxxvi	Table of Cases
Ecuador [11], PCA 6 May 2016; Final Mytilineos Holdings S	and Production Company International v. The Republic of Case No. 2012-16 (formerly AA 434), Partial Final Award, Award, 10 February 2017
Indonesische Taba. 1 1 U 159/1959 an William Nagel v. The 9 September 2003. National Grid P.L.C. 20 June 2006; Awa	Maatschapijen and N.V. Senembah-Maatchappij v. Deutsch- k-Handelsgesellsehaft m.b.H., Hanseatic Court of Appeals, d 1 U 201/1959 1 21 August 1959
810, 811, 820, 835 Nations Energy Inc., 1 of Panama, ICSID LFH Neer and Paulin	<ul> <li>552, 571, 572, 699, 700, 711, 723, 724, 739, 766, 770, 771, 809, 837, 968</li> <li>Electric Machinery Enterprises Inc., and Jamie Jurado v. Republic Case No. ARB/06/19, Award, 24 November 2010 129, 351</li> <li><i>Ne Neer (USA)</i> v. United Mexican States, Mexican-US General n (1926) 4 RIAA 60</li></ul>
Nejdet Şahin and Per Dissenting Opinion Noble Energy, Inc. an Consejo Nacional	, 312, 313, 330, 337, 380, 382 <i>ihan Şahin</i> v. <i>Turkey</i> , ECtHR, Application No. 13279/05, Joint a, 20 October 2011
Noble Ventures, Inc. 12 October 2005 852, 881, 884, 886 Nordzucker AG v. Th	ch 2008
187, 191, 225, 233 Nottebohm Case (Lie Novenergia II - Energy v. The Kingdom of	rs' Claims (Norway v. US) (1922) 1 RIAA 307 21, 24, , 246 chtenstein v. Guatemala) (Judgment) [1955] ICJ Reports 411 gy & Environment (SCA) (Grand Duchy of Luxembourg), SICAR Spain, SCC Case No. 2015/063, Final Award, 
Case No. ARB/04/ 488, 555	Dredging International N.V. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID 13, Award, 6 November 2008 299, 411, 424, 425, Technology Holding AB v. The Republic of Latvia, SCC Case
No. 118/2001, Awa	ard, 16 December 2003 146, 364, 630, 675, 676, 837
•	59, 72, 76, 79, 152, 208, 313, 348, 376, 438,
#### Table of Cases

xxxvii

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v. The Republ	ic of Ecuador, LCIA
Case No. UN 3467, Final Award, 1 July 2004	94, 120, 282, 358,
359, 361, 462, 463, 548, 550, 552, 554, 571, 596, 614, 615, 61	7, 626, 628, 632, 637,
640, 641, 666, 668, 821, 827, 829, 838, 839, 840, 852	
Occidental Petroleum Corporation and Occidental Exploration of	and Production
Commany y Republic of Foundary ICSID Cose No. ADD/06/1	1 Amond 5 October

- *Company* v. *Republic of Ecuador*, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/11, Award, 5 October 2012; Decision on Annulment of the Award, 2 November 2015...... 187, 344, 345, 447, 450
- - 984, 995

- *Eudoro Armando Olguín* v. *Republic of Paraguay*, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/5, Award, 26 July 2001.....71, 72, 493, 584
- *Olin Holdings Limited* v. *State of Libya*, ICC Case No. 20355/MCP, Final Award, 25 May 2018 ...... 133, 138, 198, 208, 223, 229, 386, 414, 429, 435, 454, 470, 502, 534, 579, 660, 823

Oscar Chinn Case (United Kingdom v. Belgium) (Judgment) [1934] PCIJ Series A/B,

xxxviii

505, 507, 510, 522, 543, 560, 562, 573, 594, 606, 608, 615, 616, 617, 662, 672, 726, 729, 730, 757 Sergei Paushok, CJSC Golden East Company, CJSC Vostokneftegaz Company v. The Government of Mongolia, UNCITRAL, Award on Jurisdiction and Liability, Perenco Ecuador Limited v. The Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/6, Decision on Remaining Issues of Jurisdiction and Liability, 12 September Petrobart Limited v. The Kyrgyz Republic, SCC Case No. 126/2003, Arbitral Award, 29 March 2005 ..... 126, 127, 343, 417, 432, 551 Petrushko v. Russia, ECtHR, Application No. 36494/02, 24 February 2005 [2005] Víctor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v. Republic of Chile [1], ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2, Award, 8 May 2008 ..... 140, 285, 410, 414, 415, 434 Bernhard von Pezold and others v. Republic of Zimbabwe, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/ 518, 559, 565, 835, 993 Phelps Dodge Corp. et al. v. Iran (1986) 10 Iran-USCTR 121 ...... 151 Philip Morris Asia Limited v. The Commonwealth of Australia, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2012-12, Award on Jurisdiction and Admissibility, 17 December 2015 ..... 102 Philip Morris Brands Sarl, Philip Morris Products S.A. and Abal Hermanos S.A. v. Oriental Republic of Uruguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/7, Award, 8 July 2016; Concurring and Dissenting Opinion Gary Born, 8 July 2016......55, 82, 86, 102, 130, 170, 313, 343, 386, 441, 451, 479, 518, 851, 899, 931 Phillips Petroleum Co. v. Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran (1989) 21 Iran-173, 247 Pine Valley Developments Ltd v. Ireland, ECtHR, Application No. 12742/87 (A/222), 29 November 1991 [1993] 16 EHRR 379 ......90 PL Holdings S.à.r.l. v. Republic of Poland, SCC Case No. V 2014/163, Partial Award, 28 June 2017 ..... 54, 106, 170 Plama Consortium Limited v. Republic of Bulgaria, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24, Decision on Jurisdiction, 8 February 2005; Award, 27 August 2008..... 125, 350, 364, 467, 468, 509, 570, 581, 624, 694, 699, 707, 723, 724, 734, 766, 767, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 791, 795, 801, 803, 804, 810, 811, 812, 820, 821, 830, 835, 852, 880, 893 Pope & Talbot Inc. v. The Government of Canada, UNCITRAL (NAFTA), Interim Award, 26 June 2000; Award on the Merits of Phase 2, 10 April 2001; Award in Respect of Damages, 31 May 2002.....14, 26, 33, 34, 56, 85, 101, 115, 120, 122, 124, 162, 282, 283, 284, 289, 311, 315, 316, 317, 328, 370, 445, 549, 604, 611, 612, 616, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 647, 658, 660, 669, 670, 671, 675, 676, 677, 726, 728, 744, 745, 825, 836, 837, 846 Pressos Compania Naviera S.A. v. Belgium, ECtHR, Application No. 17849/91, 20 November 1995 [1995] ECHR 471 ......25, 168

Table of Cases

## Table of Cases

xxxix

<ul> <li>PSEG Global Inc. and Konya Ilgin Elektrik Üretim ve Ticaret Limited Sirketi v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/5, Award, 19 January 2007 124, 274, 299, 349, 352, 400, 469, 474, 507, 512, 533, 552, 576, 577, 849, 858</li> <li>Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay) (Judgment) [2010] ICJ Reports 14</li></ul>
<i>Quasar de Valors SICAV S.A. and others (formerly Renta 4 S.V.S.A and others)</i> v. <i>The Russian Federation,</i> SCC Case No. 24/2007, Award, 20 July 2012; Judgment of Stockholm District Court, 11 September 2014
<i>Quiborax S.A. and Non Metallic Minerals S.A.</i> v. <i>Plurinational State of Bolivia</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/06/2, Award, 16 September 2015; Partially Dissenting Opinion Brigitte Stern, 7 September 2015
<i>R.</i> v. <i>Oakes</i> , Canadian Supreme Court [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103
Railroad Development Corporation (RDC) v. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/23, Award, 29 June 2012
Jurisdiction, 6 April 2018
Renta 4 S.V.S.A, Ahorro Corporación Emergentes F.I., Ahorro Corporación Eurofondo
F.I., Rovime Inversiones SICAV S.A., Quasar de Valors SICAV S.A., Orgor de
Valores SICAV S.A., GBI 9000 SICAV S.A. v. The Russian Federation, SCC No. 24/
2007, Award on Preliminary Objections, 20 March 2009 316, 324, 708, 767, 785, 786, 804, 810
In the Matter of Revere Copper and Brass Inc. v. Overseas Private Investment
<i>Corporation</i> , Award, 24 August 1978 (1978) 56 ILR 258 96, 97, 113, 141, 157, 165
The Case Concerning Rights of Nationals of the United States of America in Morocco
( <i>France</i> v. United States of America) (Judgment) [1952] ICJ Reports 176 442, 691, 709, 720, 721, 768
Rafat Ali Rizvi v. The Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/13, Award on
Jurisdiction, 16 July 2013
Harry Roberts (USA) v. United Mexican States, Mexican-US General Claims
Commission (1926) 4 RIAA 77 297, 307
The Rompetrol Group N.V. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/3, Award,
6 May 2013 286, 329, 378, 396, 397, 406, 533, 553
RosInvestCo UK Ltd. v. The Russian Federation, SCC Case No. Arb. V079/2005,
Award on Jurisdiction, 5 October 2007; Award, 12 September 2010
67, 96, 97, 98, 121, 208, 383, 737, 766, 767, 773, 774, 779, 785, 805, 809, 811, 812
Spyridon Roussalis v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/1, Award,
7 December 201167, 360, 385, 414, 425, 580, 824, 848, 960
RREEF Infrastructure (G.P.) Limited and RREEF Pan-European Infrastructure
Two Lux S.à r.l. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/30, Award,
30 November 2018

xl

## Table of Cases

RSM Production Corporation and others v. Grenada [II], ICSID Case No. ARB/10/6,
Award, 10 December 2010
<i>Rudloff Case (US v. Venezuela)</i> (1903) 9 RIAA 2446, 20
<i>Ruiz-Mateos</i> v. <i>Spain</i> , ECtHR, Application No. 12952/87, 23 June 1993 [1993] 16 EHRR 505
Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telsim Mobil Telekomunikasyon Hizmetleri A.S. v. Republic of
Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16, Award, 29 July 2008
76, 154, 267, 271, 334, 373, 374, 401, 420, 426, 454, 487, 542, 559, 582, 747, 749, 849, 861
Rusoro Mining Limited v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB
(AF)/12/5, Award, 22 August 2016
Vincent J. Ryan, Schooner Capital LLC, and Atlantic Investment Partners LLC
v. <i>Republic of Poland</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/3, Award, 24 November
2015
S.D. Myers, Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL (NAFTA), Partial Award,
13 November 2000
133, 136, 138, 162, 294, 315, 328, 445, 617, 621, 622, 621, 632, 634, 639, 640, 654,
661, 669, 670, 836, 837, 840, 845, 846
Saar Papier Vertriebs GmbH v. Republic of Poland, UNCITRAL, Final Award,
16 October 1995
Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Europe v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela,
ICSID Case No. ARB/12/13, Decision on Liability and the Principles of Quantum, 30 December 2016
216, 234, 235, 236, 240, 357, 519
Saipem S.p.A. v. The People's Republic of Bangladesh, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/07,
Decision on Jurisdiction and Recommendation on Provisional Measures, 21 March 2007; Award, 30 June 200927, 34, 35, 76, 77, 150, 153, 182
Salini Costruttori S.p.A and Italstrade S.p.A v. The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan,
ICSID Case No. ARB/02/13, Decision on Jurisdiction, 15 November 2004 35,
723, 724, 766, 767, 769, 779, 780, 783, 807, 810, 811, 812, 874, 875, 932, 958
Saluka Investments BV (The Netherlands) v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial
Award, 17 March 2006 15, 74, 86, 87, 89, 102,
103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 190, 195, 273, 274, 277, 279, 281, 288, 289,
293, 294, 303, 321, 322, 326, 333, 334, 336, 368, 370, 372, 418, 436, 437, 438, 442,
443, 445, 449, 474, 475, 481, 486, 488, 490, 524, 525, 529, 559, 569, 581, 582, 624,
823, 830, 831, 838, 848, 881
Sanum Investments Limited v. The Government of Lao People's Democratic
Republic [1], PCA Case No. 2013-13, Award on Jurisdiction,
13 December 2013
Sapphire International Petroleum Ltd. v. National Iranian Oil Co., Award, 15 March
1963 (1963) 35 ILR 13640
Saudi Arabia v. Arabian American Oil Company (ARAMCO), Award, 23 August 1958
(1963) 27 ILR 11740

#### Table of Cases

SAUR International S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/4, Decision Sea-Land Serv., Inc. v. Iran (1984) 6 Iran-USCTR 149 ...... 71, 151, 166 SEDCO, Inc. v. National Iranian Oil Company (1985) 9 Iran-USCTR 248 ....... 86, 88, 114, 151 Mr. Franz Sedelmayer v. The Russian Federation through the Procurement Department of the President of the Russian Federation, SCC Case No. 106/1998, Seismograph Service Corp. et al. v. National Iranian Oil Company and Iran (1989) 22 Iran-USCTR 3......141 Sempra Energy International v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, Award, 28 September 2007; Decision on Annulment, 29 June 2010.......31, 329, 352, 363, 500, 508, 512, 577, 600, 768, 829, 839, 885, 921, 938, 939 SGS Société Générale de Surveillance S.A. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13, Decision on Jurisdiction, 6 August 2003 ...... 790, 857, 858, 859, 864, 871, 875, 879, 880, 882, 883, 884, 891, 916, 925, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 934, 935, 939, 950, 954, 957, 958, 968 SGS Société Générale de Surveillance S.A. v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/6, Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction, 29 January 2004; Dissenting Opinion Crivellaro, 29 January 2004...... 176, 503, 857, 861, 870, 872, 876, 881, 882, 884, 896, 902, 916, 928, 929, 935, 937, 939, 944, 945, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 957, 958 SGS Société Générale de Surveillance S.A. v. The Republic of Paraguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 12 February 2010; Award, 10 February 2012 ...... 356, 357, 505, 888, 916, 926, 929, 936, 943, 944, 946, 956 Shahin Shaine Ebrahimi v. Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran (1994) 30 Iran-USCTR 170; Separate Opinion of Richard C. Allison ...... 151, 227 Shufeldt Claim (US v. Guatemala) (1930) 2 RIAA 1079 ..... 20, 173, 196 Tza Yap Shum v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/6, Decision on 90, 760, 762, 767, 783, 811, 812, 823 Waguih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. The Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/15, Award, 1 June 2009; Dissenting Opinion of Francisco Orrego Vicuña, 11 May 2009 ......6, 15, 42, 189, 201, 202, 207, 219, 228, 234, 296, 367, 372, 381, 415, 428, 482, 533, 573, 580, 754, 816, 965 Siderman de Blake v. Argentina, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 965 Siemens A.G. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, Decision on Jurisdiction, 3 August 2004; Award, 6 February 2007......30, 62, 63,

64, 153, 178, 194, 228, 248, 249, 274, 275, 287, 290, 297, 348, 360, 410, 544, 550, 553, 568, 617, 706, 707, 720, 721, 723, 724, 736, 738, 766, 767, 768, 769, 773, 779, 784, 790, 800, 803, 804, 810, 811, 821, 822, 827, 840, 852, 861, 883, 916, 919, 937, 943, 944, 960

xli

# xlii

# Table of Cases

Sistem Mühendislik In aat Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. v. Kyrgyz Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/06/1, Award, 9 September 2009
Smith Kline and French Laboratories v. The Netherlands, European Commission of Human Rights, Applications No. 12633/87, Decision, 4 October 1990, 66 Decision & Reports 70
Société Commerciale de Belgique (Belgium v. Greece) (Judgment) [1939] PCIJ Series A/B, No. 78
Société Générale in respect of DR Energy Holdings Limited and Empresa
Distribuidora de Electricidad del Este, S.A. v. The Dominican Republic, LCIA Case
No. UN 7927, Preliminary Objections to Jurisdiction, 19 September 2008 125, 741
Société Ouest Africaine des Bétons Industriels v. Senegal, ICSID Case No. ARB/82/1,
Award, 25 February 198815
South American Silver Limited v. Plurinational State of Bolivia, PCA Case No. 2013-15 (pending)
Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID
Case No. ARB/84/3, Award, 20 May 1992, 3 ICSID Reports 189 28, 73, 91, 194, 245
Sporrong and Lönnroth v. Sweden, ECtHR, Application Nos. 7151/75 (A/52), 7152/75
(A/52), 23 September 1982 [1982] ECHR 5
ST-AD GmbH v. The Republic of Bulgaria, PCA Case No. 2011-06, Award on
Jurisdiction, 18 July 2013719, 742, 767
Starrett Housing Corp. v. Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran (1983) 4 Iran-
USCTR 122 23, 59, 113, 114, 128, 163
State v. Makwanyane & Another, South African Constitutional Court [1995] (3) SA
391, 436 (CC)
Anatolie Stati, Gabriel Stati, Ascom Group S.A. and Terra Raf Trans Traiding Ltd
v. The Republic of Kazakhstan, SCC Case No. V116/2010, Award, 19 December
2013278, 348, 368, 371, 430, 849, 966
Stran Greek Refineries and Stratis Andreadis v. Greece, ECtHR, Application
No. 13427/87, 9 December 1994 [1994] ECHR 48
Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A. and Vivendi Universal S.A v. The
Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19 and AWG Group Ltd. v. The
Argentine Republic, UNCITRAL, Decision on Jurisdiction, 3 August 2006; Decision
on Liability, 30 July 2010; Separate Opinion of Arbitrator Pedro Nikken, 30 July
2010; Decision on Annulment, 5 May 2017
87, 128, 129, 183, 273, 278, 280, 291, 304, 324, 329, 332, 358, 370, 480, 485, 487,
503, 555, 578, 700, 724, 732, 760, 766, 767, 771, 772, 800, 803, 804, 805, 809, 810
Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAgua Servicios
Integrales del Agua S.A.v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No.
ARB/03/17, Decisions on Jurisdiction, 16 May 2006; Decision on Liability, 30 July
2010
Supervisión y Control S.A. v. The Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/4, Award, 18 January 2017

## Table of Cases

xliii

SwemBalt AB, Sweden v. The Republic of Latvia, UNCITRAL, Decision by the Court of Arbitration, 23 October 2000
Swisslion DOO Skopje v. The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, ICSID Case
No. ARB/09/16, Award, 6 July 2012
Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed S.A. v. The United Mexican States, ICSID Case
No. ARB (AF)/00/2, Award, 29 May 200313, 36, 40, 51,
57, 63, 74, 75, 86, 101, 106, 116, 120, 136, 149, 152, 155, 160, 165, 169, 170, 286,
291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 328, 372, 418, 447, 448, 456, 457, 458, 460, 482,
496, 500, 561,
581, 673, 717, 718, 762, 766
TECO Guatemala Holdings, LLC v. The Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No.
ARB/10/23, Award, 19 December 2013 108, 319, 402, 439, 463, 532 <i>Tehran, Inc., et al.</i> v. <i>Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, et al.</i> (1986) 10 Iran-
USCTR 228
Teinver S.A., Transportes de Cercanías S.A. and Autobuses Urbanos del Sur S.A. v. The
Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/1, Decision on Jurisdiction,
21 December 2012; Award, 21 July 2017
229, 277, 282, 327, 370, 503, 504, 527, 557, 576, 734, 749, 753, 760, 766, 774, 807,
810, 811, 820, 828, 834, 967
Telefónica S.A v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/20, Decision of the
Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction, 25 May 2006
<i>Telenor Mobile Communications A.S.</i> v. <i>The Republic of Hungary</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/04/15, Award, 13 September 2006
121, 122, 152, 348, 724, 762, 766, 767, 782, 783, 786, 811, 812
Tenaris S.A. and Talta - Trading e Marketing Sociedade Unipessoal Lda. v.
Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela [1], ICSID Case No. ARB/11/26, Award,
29 January 2016
Tenaris S.A. and Talta - Trading e Marketing Sociedade Unipessoal Lda. v. Bolivarian
Republic of Venezuela [II], ICSID Case No. ARB/12/23, Award, 12 December
2016
Texaco Overseas Petroleum Company (Topco)/California Asiatic (Calasiatic) Oil
Company v. Government of the Libyan Arabic Republic, Award, 19 January 1977
(1978) 17 ILM 1; (1979) 53 ILR 3896, 11, 24, 40, 157, 207, 208, 245, 860 Thomas Earl Payne v. Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran (1986) 12
Iran-USCTR 3
Tidewater Investment SRL and Tidewater Caribe, C.A. v. The Bolivarian Republic of
<i>Venezuela</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/10/5, Award, 13 March 2015 239, 351, 376
Tippetts, Abbett, McCarthy, Stratton v. TAMS-AFFA Consulting Engineers of Iran
(1984) 6 Iran-USCTR 219
Tiramavia S.R.L. and Others v. Republic of Moldova, ECtHR, Application Nos. 54115/
09, 55707/09 and 55770/09, 4 September 2018
Tokios Tokelés v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18, Award, 26 July 2007;
Dissenting Opinion of Prosper Weil, 29 April 2004

xliv

Total S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/01, Decision on Liability, 27 December 2010...... 57, 129, 262, 275, 281, 286, 287, 297, 331, 334, 341, 377, 428, 449, 462, 463, 464, 474, 484, 501, 502, 519, 520, 525, 572, 611, 616, 629, 660, 674, 727 Toto Costruzioni Generali S.p.A. v. Republic of Lebanon, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/12, Decision on Jurisdiction, 11 September 2009; Award, 7 June 2012...... 285, 341, 355, 393, 412, 411, 471, 564, 584, 834, 907, 949, 954 TRACO Deutsche Travertinwerke GmbH v. The Republic of Poland, UNCITRAL, First Tradex Hellas S.A. v. The Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/94/2, Award, Tre Traktörer AB v. Sweden, ECtHR, Application No. 10873/84, 7 July 1989 [1989] Tulip Real Estate Investment and Development Netherlands B.V. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/28, Award, 10 March 2014 ...... 33, 186, 275, 580, 900 UAB E Energija (Lithuania) v. Republic of Latvia, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/33, Award, 22 December 2017 ..... 107, 132, 155, 296, 464, 489, 559, 823 Ulysseas, Inc. v. The Republic of Ecuador, UNCITRAL, Final Award, 12 June 2012...... 183, 313, 331, 362, 556, 564, 830, 835, 841 Marion Unglaube and Reinhard Unglaube v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case Nos. ARB/08/1 and ARB/09/20, Award, 16 May 2012...... 137, 294, 370, 374, 445, 466, 575, 841, 964 Unión Fenosa Gas, S.A. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/4, Award, United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL (NAFTA), Award on Jurisdiction, 22 November 2002; Award on the Merits, 24 May 2007; Separate Statement of Dean Cass, 24 May 2007 ...... 439, 549, 618, 625, 631, 635, 648, 649, 673, 674, 676, 677, 728 UP and CD Holding Internationale v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/35, Award, Urbaser S.A. and Consorcio de Aguas Bilbao Biskaia, Bilbao Biskaia Ur Partzuergoa v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/26, Award, 503, 526, 820, 843, 849 V. Verenigde Deli-Maatschapijen and N.V. Senembah-Maatchappij v. Deutsch-Indonesische Tabak-Handelsgesellschaft m.b.H., Bremen District Court, 2 7 Q 12 and 13/195, 21 April 1959, and 7 Q 26/195, 16 June 1959...... 207 Vacuum Salt v. Ghana, ICSID Case No. ARB/92/1, Award, 16 February 1994.....15

Table of Cases

Valores Mundiales, S.L. and Consorcio Andino, S.L. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/11, Award, 25 July 2017 ......68, 121, 229, 327, 353, 416, 823, 852, 984, 993, 998

## Table of Cases

xlv

Van Marle and Others v. The Netherlands, ECtHR, Application Nos. 8543/79; 8674/ 79; 8675/79; 8685/79, 26 June 1986 [1986] 8 EHRR 48326, 156
Vannessa Ventures Ltd. v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB
(AF)/04/6, Award, 16 January 2013 184, 406, 413, 422, 423, 472, 553, 575,
(AF)/04/0, Award, 10 January 2015 184, 400, 415, 422, 425, 472, 555, 575, 580, 742
Venezuela Holdings, B.V., Mobil Cerro Negro Holding, Ltd., Mobil Venezolana de
Petróleos Holdings, Inc., Mobil Cerro Negro, Ltd., and Mobil Venezolana de
Petróleos, Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/27,
Award 9 October 2014
<i>Venezuela US, S.R.L.</i> v. <i>The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</i> , PCA Case No. 2013-34, Interim Award on Jurisdiction on the Respondent Objection to Jurisdiction <i>Ratione</i>
Voluntatis, 26 July 2016
Award, 15 April 2016
228, Final Award, 18 July 2014
1 October 2014
1 October 2014 165, 180, 210
Waite and Kennedy v. Germany, ECtHR, Application No. 26083/94, 18 February 1999
[1999] ECHR 13
<i>Walter Fletcher Smith Claim (US v. Cuba)</i> (1929) 2 RIAA 913 191, 199, 245
Waste Management, Inc. v. United Mexican States [1], ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/2,
Award, 2 June 2000; Dissenting Opinion of Keith Highet, 8 May 2000; 40 ILM 56,
73 (2001)
Waste Management, Inc. v. United Mexican States [II], ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/
3, Award, 30 April 2004 55, 82, 83, 84, 177, 294, 318, 335, 355,
375, 389, 404, 406, 411, 417, 427, 438, 440, 460, 505, 549, 845, 847, 861, 867, 963
Wena Hotels Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/4, Award,
8 December 2000; Decision on Annulment, 5 February 2002; Decision on the
Application by Wena Hotels Limited for Interpretation of the Award,
31 October 2005
561, 571, 577, 582
Western NIS Enterprise Fund v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No ARB/04/2, Order,
16 March 2006
Whaling in the Antarctic (Australia v. Japan: New Zealand intervening) (Judgment)
[2014] ICJ Reports 226
White Industries Australia Limited v. The Republic of India, UNCITRAL, Final Award,
30 November 2011
Windstream Energy LLC v. Government of Canada, PCA Case No. 2013-22, Award,
27 September 2016
Wintershall Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/14,
Award, 8 December 2008
805, 811

# xlvi

# Table of Cases

Jürgen Wirtgen and others v. The Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-03, Final
Award, 11 October 2017
WNC Factoring Limited v. The Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-34, Award,
22 February 2017
WTO Appellate Body Report, Canada — Certain Measures Affecting the Automotive
Industry, 31 May 2000, WT/DS139/AB/R and WT/DS142/AB/R 692
WTO, Appellate Body Report, European Communities - Regime for the
Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas [III], 25 September 1997, WT/DS27/
AB/R
WTO Appellate Body Report, European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos
and Asbestos-Containing Products, 12 March 2001, WT/DS135/AB/R
610, 612
WTO Appellate Body Report, Japan — Alcoholic Beverages [II], 1 November 1996,
WT/DS38/AB/R
WTO Appellate Body Report, United States — Measures Affecting Imports of Woven
Wool Shirts and Blouses from India, 23 May 1997, WT/DS33/AB/R 675, 678
WTO Panel Report, Brazil — Measures Affecting Imports of Retreaded Tyres, 12 June
2007, WT/DS332/R
WTO Panel Report, Canada — Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products,
18 August 2000, WT/DS114/R 605
WTO Panel Report, United States — Measures Affecting Alcoholic and Malt Beverages,
19 June 1992, WT/DS23/R – 39S/206
Yaung Chi Oo Trading Pte Ltd. v. Government of the Union of Myanmar, ASEAN Case
No. ARB/01/1, Award, 31 March 2003
Yukos Universal Limited (Isle of Man) v. The Russian Federation, PCA Case No. AA
227, Final Award, 18 July 2014
Zelena N.V. and Energo-Zelena d.o.o. Inđija v. The Republic of Serbia, ICSID Case

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01358-2 — International Protection of Investments August Reinisch , Christoph Schreuer Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

# ABBREVIATIONS

ACHR ACP	American Convention on Human Rights African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States
ASEAN	Association of South East Asian Nations
BITs	Bilateral investment treaties
BLEU	Belgium-Luxembourg Economic Union
CAFTA	United States-Dominican Republic-Central America Free Trade
Chin	Agreement
CARICOM	Caribbean Community
CETA	Canada–EU Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement
COMESA	Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa
ECHR	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and
Lonix	Fundamental Freedoms
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECT	Energy Charter Treaty
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EU	European Union
FCN	Friendship, Commerce and Navigation
FET	Fair and equitable treatment
FILJ	Foreign Investment Law Journal
FIT	Feed-in-Tariff
FPS	Full protection and security
FTA	Free trade agreement
GA	General Assembly
GATS	General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
HFCS	High fructose corn syrup
ICC	International Chamber of Commerce
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICSID	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes
IIA	International investment agreements
ILA	International Law Association
ILC	International Law Commission
ILM	International Legal Materials
ILO	International Labour Organization
ILR	International Law Reports

xlvii

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01358-2 — International Protection of Investments August Reinisch , Christoph Schreuer Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

xlviii	List of Abbreviations
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IPO	Initial public offering
ITO	International Trade Organization
JAA	Joint Aviation Authorities
LCIA	London Court of International Arbitration
MAI	Mutual Agreement on Investment
MFN	Most-favoured-nation
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
NAFTA FTC	NAFTA Free Trade Commission
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OEPC	Occidental Exploration and Production Company
OIC	Organisation of the Islamic Conference
OPEC	Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries
OPIC	Overseas Private Investment Corporation
PCA	Permanent Court of Arbitration
PCIJ	Permanent Court of International Justice
REIO	Regional economic integration organization
Res.	Resolution
RSE	Renewable Sources of Energy
RIAA	Reports of International Arbitral Awards
SCC	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce
TEC	Treaty Establishing the European Community
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
TTIP	EU–US Transatlantic Trade and Investment Pact
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UN	United Nations
UN GA	United Nations General Assembly
UNCITRAL	United Nations Commission on International Trade Law
UNCTAD UNESCO	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization United States of America
US VAT	Value added tax
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
WTO	World Trade Organization
W10	wond made organization